

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/178,840	10/26/1998	ANGELIKI OSTE TRIANTAFYLLOU	P/2432-19	5038
24998 7.	590 11/07/2002			
	SHAPIRO MORIN &	EXAMINER		
2101 L STREE WASHINGTO	ET NW ON, DC 20037-1526		SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	33
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			

Application No. 09/178,840

Applicant(s)

Triantafyllou

Interview Summary Fxaminer Art Unit

Interview Summary	Curtis E. Sherrer	1761	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):		
(1) Curtis E. Sherrer	(2)		
(2) Peter McGee			
Date of Interview Oct 25, 2002	<del></del>		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ application	int 2)🛚 applicant's representati	ve]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief descri	ption:	
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:  The Report of Brewing Industry Research Foundation,			indal
The Report of Brewing Industry Research Foundation			
Substance of Interview including description of the geany other comments:  Applicants will consider amending claim 1 to provide a the language of claim 6 to further define claim 1 or reconsider further amending the claim to further define that no germinated grains are used, further define the heating step occurs. With regard to the 103 rejection Lindahl reference would not produce the product of the is not combinable. The examiner stated that the possipending final rejection.	neral nature of what was agreed the antecedent basis for the rejected pure moving the claim. With regard to the invention over the cited art. So heating step or possibly, limiting an applicants may submit evidence the claims. Applicants may also prosible amendments would, most like	the 102 rejectoecifically, app the process as to show that esent argumentally, not be ent	tion, applicants will plicants may claim to when the the product of the prior art pered after the product the product of the product of the pred after the prior art pered after the claims
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, when available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (Salready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of	separate record of the substance FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO TH ee MPEP section 713.04). If a rep	of the intervience E LAST OFFICE Coly to the last	ew (if box is checked). E ACTION MUST Office action has ATEMENT OF THE
		01-	CURTIS E. SHERRER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1761

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.